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1. Theoretical framework
Our starting point: the information sector of the economy

- Machlup: knowledge industries (1962)
- Bell: knowledge workers (1973)
- Porat: information society (1976)
Growth in information workers signals the transition to an information economy

UNITED STATES: THE PROTOTYPE OF INFORMATION SOCIETY
1860 - 1980

Source: Porat (1977)
The growth of information workers is a worldwide trend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percent of Information Workers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>54 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>54 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>45 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>51 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>58 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>40 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>53 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Europe</strong></td>
<td><strong>50 %</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>48 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>47 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average North America</strong></td>
<td><strong>48 %</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percent of Information Workers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>29 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>21 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>27 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>25 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>23 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>21 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Latam</strong></td>
<td><strong>24 %</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>36 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>37 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>40 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>48 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>13 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Asia</strong></td>
<td><strong>31 %</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ILO (2007); analysis by the author
The growth of information workers is related to economic development

INFORMATION SOCIETY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH (2006)

Source: The Economist; ILO; analysis by the author
First causality model: ICT innovation and diffusion is related to growth of information workforce

- Economic Development
- Workforce Specialization
- Growth of Information Workforce
- Need to adopt ICT to increase productivity of information workers

Productivity increase (first effect)

Increasing complexity of production processes
Reduction of uncertainty in information handling
At some point, the information workforce becomes a bottleneck in the system of production

Productivity increase (second effect)
In particular, telecommunication networks have a direct influence on economic growth.

**BROADBAND PENETRATION AND GDP GROWTH**

![Graph showing the relationship between broadband penetration and GDP growth across different countries. The graph includes markers for Japan, Canada, USA, Germany, France, UK, and Italy. The correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.84$.](source: Gentzoglouinis (2007))
Ultimately, networks enable the achievement of full potential of the information society

- **Tele-commuting**: improvement in quality of life resulting from ability to work remotely
- **Labor productivity**: greater efficiency in processing of information-related tasks
- **Transaction speed**: faster completion of inter-firm transactions, with consequent reduction of costs
- **Innovation capacity**: innovation can be increased by streamlined collaboration among eco-system firms
- **Modular and flexible production processes**: Standardized optimized processes can only be achieved through flexible reconfiguration and simplification
Theories and attempts to measure ICT impact on productivity

• Information technology as an input in the production function (Braunstein)

• Information payoff (Strassman)

• Telecommunications and growth (Jipp, Parker, Wellenius)

• The productivity paradox (Solow, Krugman)

• Information technology and productivity (Jorgenson)
ICT and productivity: the lag effect

ICT-PRODUCTIVITY: THREE LEVELS OF CAUSALITY

- **ECONOMY**: Sector A, Sectors B, C, D
- **SECTOR**: Firms A, B, Firms C, D, E
- **FIRM**: Certain firms assume a lead role in the acquisition of ICT (Leaders vs. followers, firms at the center or periphery of innovation)
- **Economies with high concentration of industrial sectors more prone to adopt ICT and public policies aimed at accumulating intangible capital (education, changes in production processes)**
- **Industrial sectors whose structure and value chain stimulate the adoption and assimilation of ICT (industries with high transaction costs or network industries like transportation, finance or distribution)**

---
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Second causality model: the impact of ICT public policies on ICT diffusion and socio-economic impact

Impact and Interrelationships of Variables

Regulatory Framework and Public Policies

Competitive intensity

Industry Development

Investment incentives

ICT Diffusion and Adoption

Incentives to adoption and assimilation

Productivity

Employment

Socio-Economic Impact
As a result, industry competitiveness has an impact on the degree with which ICT influences the economy.

**IMPACT AND INTERRELATIONSHIPS OF VARIABLES**

- **Competitive intensity**
  - Margin erosion as a result of price wars
  - Reallocation of large share of resources to sales and marketing functions

- **Investment incentives**
  - CAPEX reduction
  - Reduction in introduction of new services
  - Negative effect of replicability

- **Incentives to adoption and assimilation**
  - Underdevelopment of a public policy agenda aimed at accumulating intangibles (training, incentives to organizational innovation)

- **ICT Diffusion and Adoption**
  - Less impact on creation of employment
  - Less impact on productivity
  - Less improvement in quality of life

- **Productivity Employment**

**Regulatory framework and public policies**
2. US Evidence
**US evidence of economic impact of ICT comprises four areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREAS OF IMPACT</th>
<th>BENEFITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Productivity</td>
<td>• Improvement of total factor productivity particularly in those industries that are ICT-intensive, but also in those that are not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation/relocation of enterprises</td>
<td>• Relocation of enterprises based on the availability of high capacity telecommunications networks (as one of many infrastructure factors) and quality of life (driven by availability of networks in schools, hospitals, public administration, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>• Creation of employment as a result of relocation of companies searching for labor cost arbitrage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Creation of qualified self-employment resulting from the availability of communication networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Creation of employment in manufacturing and installation of telecommunications equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic growth</td>
<td>• Increase in efficiency of industries with high transaction costs (retail distribution, finance, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consumer surplus generated by the availability of new telecommunications services, reduction of travel time and transportation, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jorgenson et al. have ascertained the impact of ICT on productivity

- **1995-2000:** The rapid price declines in IT acted as a positive supply shock
  - Fast decline in IT prices (memory chips declined 41% in 1974-96; logic chips 54 in 1985-96; computers declined less because chips are <50% of computer costs)
  - High rate of investment in IT, combined with more efficient combination of inputs
  - Increased productivity

- **2000-onwards:** investment in IT has receded considerably, but labor productivity continued improving

- Rising productivity driven by a combination of structural and transitory factors (e.g. flexible labor markets)
Jorgenson, Ho, Samuels and Stiroh’s assessment of ICT impact on PTF

Capital Input Contribution of Information Technology
Annual percentage growth rates, weighted by income shares.

- Non-IT Capital Services
- IT Capital Services

Industry Contributions to Productivity Growth
Domar weighted productivity.

Source: Jorgenson et al. (2008)
### Evidence of micro and macroeconomic impact of telecommunications on the economy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREAS OF IMPACT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact of telecommunications on the economy</strong></td>
<td>• Wireless communications improve productivity of supply chain and distribution processes (20% improvement) (Blackstone and Ware)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Total value of telecommunications input equals 1% of total output (Colpitts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Telecommunications improves efficiency of suburban traffic up to 20% (Jones)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact of telecommunications on economic growth</strong></td>
<td>• Growth in telecommunications density has an impact of economic development (Jipp, Parker, Hudson, Polischuk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telecommunications has an impact on economic efficiency of firms</strong></td>
<td>• Improvement of supply chain (e.g. pricing, inventory management) (Jonscher and Tyler)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduction in amount of staff mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Improvement in distribution (e.g. better price signaling, better reach of distributors)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impact of broadband investment on economic growth and employment creation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREAS OF IMPACT</th>
<th>BENEFITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Economic growth     | • An investment in broadband of $63 Billion in the US would achieve universal coverage, allowing the maximization of consumer surplus generated by new services, savings in transportation time and new computer applications; this would result in a cumulative increase to the GDP of $ 179 Billion (Crandall)  
  • A comparative analysis of similar cities where one is served by a broadband infrastructure leads to an improvement of economic growth of 28% (Ford) |
| Employment          | • An investment leading to universal broadband coverage in the US results in an annual increase of 61,000 jobs (Crandall)  
  • An investment in broadband in one of two adjacent cities attracts investment of 140 companies (versus 9 for the other city) generating 4,250 additional jobs (Kelly)  
  • Broadband investment at the end of the last century in the US resulted in an increase in employment of around 1.5% at the aggregate level (Sirbu) |
3. Spanish evidence
The Spanish economy has undergone a structural change over the last fifty years transitioning towards an information economy.

**SPAIN: BREAKDOWN OF ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE POPULATION (1950-2005)**

Nota: Los trabajadores de la información incluyen ejecutivos, administradores, profesionales, técnicos y empleados administrativos.

_Fuentes: OIT; Análisis TAS_
However, in the aggregate, the causal relationship between investment in ICT and productivity is not clear.

**SPAIN: Y-T-Y CHANGE IN ICT FIXED CAPITAL FORMATION AND TOTAL FACTOR PRODUCTIVITY**

- **Beginning of investment**
- **Take-off of ICT investment**
- **Investment wave**
- **Crisis of the 90s**
- **Bursting of the bubble**

**Note:** ICT investment is calculated in current prices while ICT-Fixed Capital Formation is presented in real terms.

**Sources:** Gual, J. Et al. (2006); Quesada et al. (2006); Aniel
Two structural features of the Spanish economy explain the lack of impact of ICT on aggregate productivity

- Employment growth: the aggregate numbers are biased by the construction sector, which is a sector that has generated a large part of employment growth in the last decade.

- The sectors that have benefitted more from the development of the telecommunications industry and the generalized investment in ICT are the ICT-intensive industries, which show an important increase in productivity.

### Growth in Labor Productivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td>0.71%</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not ICT intensive</td>
<td>-0.12%</td>
<td>0.30%</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT intensive</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper, print</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
<td>Lesser impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>1.22%</td>
<td>5.84%</td>
<td>4.63%</td>
<td>Accelerating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>6.18%</td>
<td>5.89%</td>
<td>-0.29%</td>
<td>High constant impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport and</td>
<td>2.52%</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
<td>-0.59%</td>
<td>Moderate impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Mas y Quesada (2006)

- The structure of the Spanish economy shows a preponderance of Small and Medium Enterprises, a sector that is significantly slower than the rest of firms in adopting ICT.
Furthermore, emerging research regarding the impact of ICT on the Spanish economy is not yet conclusive

**YES**

- Hernando and Nunez argue that ICT investment in 1990 had a positive impact on Spanish productivity
  - Study based on enterprise statistics aggregated at the sectorial and economy level
  - Concludes that ICT contribution to value-added increased from 0.29 pp in 1992-5 to 0.45 pp in 1996-00
  - In that sense, ICT contribution has been 0.10 for each percentage point of economic growth (compared to 0.25 in the US)

**NO**

- Gual, Rosello and Posino argue that given the slow-down in productivity growth in the 21st century, ICT is not having a positive impact on TFP
  - Productivity grew 2.18% between 1980 and 1994, dropping to 0.68% between 1995 and 2000
  - ICT capital stock as % of the GDP increased from 1.93% to 4.58%
  - Institutional and regulatory barriers are limiting the impact of ICT on the economy

- Mars and Quesada argue that the important ICT investment has not yet materialized in TFP improvement due to the lack of intangibles
Our assessment of Y-t-Y change in telecommunications CAPEX and PTF indicates the presence of a direct relationship.
However, the relationship pattern between the two variables in Spain is different from the one in Korea.

- The rate of change of ITC investment has been found to be directly linked to Total Factor Productivity change.
- Impact can be achieved almost simultaneously or with a time lag.
- Time lag has been found to be driven by an economy’s ability to immediately assimilate the investment in ITC as a result of high level of human resource training and flexible production processes ("intangible capital").
In addition, consistent with the US evidence, broadband penetration in the business segment appears to have an impact in employment growth.
The relationship between broadband and employment appears to be stronger in Spain than in South Korea.

**BROADBAND PENETRATION AND CREATION OF EMPLOYMENT**

**SPAIN**

A 5% increase in broadband adoption by enterprises (SMEs included) results in a .6% of percentage point improvement in job creation.

**KOREA**

A 5% increase in broadband adoption by enterprises (SMEs included) results in a 0.4% improvement in job creation.

Sources: Instituto Nacional de Estadística – INE, Directorio Central de Empresas – DIRCE, Analysis by the author.
In sum, a positive relation between ICT investment and broadband penetration on one side, and productivity and employment growth on the other side, indicates a transition toward an information society.

- Structural transformation of the Spanish economy, indicating a transition toward an information society.
- Growing causal relation between diffusion and assimilation of ICT and economic growth.
- Positive relation between telecom Capex change and TFP change.
- A 5% increase in broadband adoption by enterprises results in a 0.6% improvement in job creation.
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